

About the 1.5°C warming IPCC Special Report :

Global warming of 1.5°C

(...) in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, sustainable development, and efforts to eradicate poverty

Jean-Pascal van Ypersele

Former IPCC Vice-Chair (2008-2015)

Prof UCLouvain (Belgium), Earth & Life Institute

Twitter: @JPvanYpersele,

**« Can we still make it? 1.5°C as a climate challenge »
conference, Warsaw, 7 November 2018**

Thanks to the Walloon government for supporting www.pplateforme-wallonne-giec.be
and my team at UCLouvain (Université catholique de Louvain, Belgium)

Why the IPCC ?

Established by WMO and UNEP in 1988

to provide **policy-makers** with an **objective source of information** about

- causes of climate change,
- potential environmental and socio-economic impacts,
- possible response options (adaptation & mitigation)

WMO=World Meteorological Organization

UNEP= United Nations Environment Programme



The role of the IPCC is ...






“... to **assess** on a comprehensive, objective, open and transparent basis the **scientific, technical and socio-economic information** relevant to understanding the scientific basis of risk of human-induced climate change, its potential impacts and options for adaptation and mitigation.”

“IPCC reports should be **neutral with respect to policy**, although they may need to **deal objectively with scientific, technical and socio-economic factors** relevant to the application of particular policies.”


Principles Governing IPCC Work, paragraph 2

Source: <http://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/ipcc-principles/ipcc-principles.pdf>


IPCC Structure




IPCC Assessment Reports




FAR 1990




SAR 1995



TAR 2001




AR4 2007



AR5 WGI 2013



AR5 WGII 2014



AR5 WGIII 2014



IPCC AR5 Synthesis Report

INTERGOVERNMENTAL PANEL ON climate change

ipcc



Key messages from IPCC AR5

- **Human influence on the climate system is clear**
- **Continued emissions of greenhouse gases will increase the likelihood of severe, pervasive and irreversible impacts for people and ecosystems**
- **While climate change is a threat to sustainable development, there are many opportunities to integrate mitigation, adaptation, and the pursuit of other societal objectives**
- **Humanity *has* the means to limit climate change and build a more sustainable and resilient future**

Why this SR15 report?

- 1992: Article 2 of the UNFCCC: avoid « dangerous interference »
- 1996: EU Environment Council: for us, dangerous = $<2^{\circ}\text{C}$
- 2009: COP15 (Copenhagen): dangerous = $<2^{\circ}\text{C}$
- 2010: COP16 (Cancun): formalizes COP15
- 2015: COP21 (Paris): objective = « Well below 2°C » & « pursuing efforts to limit warming to 1.5°C »

The Paris Agreement (COP21, December 2015)

Vision

« ...strengthen the **global response to the threat of climate change**, in the context of **sustainable development** and efforts to **eradicate poverty** »

Objectives

a) Holding the increase in the global average temperature:

- « *to well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels* »
- « *pursuing efforts to limit the temperature increase to 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels,*
recognizing that this would significantly reduce the risks and impacts of climate change »

b) Adaptation and Mitigation

- « *Increasing the ability to adapt to the adverse impacts of climate change and foster climate resilience and*
- *low greenhouse gas emissions development, in a manner that does not threaten food production*»

c) Finances

- « *Making finance flows consistent with a pathway towards low greenhouse gas emissions and climate-resilient development.* »

Why this SR15 report?

COP21 decided to invite the IPCC « to provide a special report in 2018 on the impacts of global warming of 1.5 °C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways » (Article 21 of 1/CP21)

Why this SR15 report?

COP21 « Notes with concern that the estimated aggregate GHG emission levels in 2025 and 2030 resulting from the INDCs:

- do not fall within least-cost 2 °C scenarios but rather lead to a projected level of 55 gigatonnes in 2030,
- and also notes that **much greater emission reduction efforts will be required** (...) in order to hold the increase in the global average temperature
 - to below 2 °C above pre-industrial levels by reducing emissions to 40 gigatonnes
 - or to 1.5 °C above pre-industrial levels by reducing to a ***level to be identified in the [IPCC] special report*** » (Article 17 of 1/CP21)

Why this SR15 report?

After a scoping process, the IPCC Plenary (Bangkok, October 2016) decided to accept the COP21 invitation and to produce:

« *An IPCC special report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, sustainable development, and efforts to eradicate poverty* »

Timeline for the forthcoming AR6 reports

March 2018

Conference on
Cities

October 2018

Global
warming of
1.5°C

September 2019

Oceans
and cryosphere

April 2021

The Physical
Science Basis

October 2021

Climate Change
Impacts,
Adaptation and
Vulnerability

April 2022

The IPCC
Synthesis Report

Talanoa
dialogue
UNFCCC

Land Use

August 2019

Mitigation
of
Climate Change

July 2021

Global Stocktake
2023
UNFCCC


2019 Refinement
Methodologies

May 2019

* Dates are subject to a change


Global stocktake : mitigation, adaptation and support

Ambition Mechanism in the Paris Agreement



<http://ow.ly/VUfYe>

Temperature spiral



Global Mean Temperature in °C relative to 1850 – 1900

Graph: Ed Hawkins (Climate Lab Book) – Data: HadCRUT4 global temperature dataset

Animated version available on <http://openclimatedata.net/climate-spirals/temperature>

Since 1950, **extreme hot days** and **heavy precipitation** have become more common



There is evidence that anthropogenic influences, including increasing atmospheric **greenhouse gas concentrations**, have changed these extremes

More heavy precipitation and more droughts....




CO₂ Concentration, 28 May 2018 (Keeling curve)

Latest CO₂ reading
May 28, 2018

411.98 ppm

Ice-core data before 1958. Mauna Loa data after 1958.



Source: scripps.ucsd.edu/programs/keelingcurve/


A Progression of Understanding: Greater and Greater Certainty in Attribution

AR1 (1990):
“unequivocal detection
not likely for a decade”

AR2 (1995): “balance
of evidence suggests
discernible human
influence”


AR3 (2001): “most of
the warming of the
past 50 years is **likely**
(odds 2 out of 3) due
to human activities”

AR4 (2007): “most of
the warming is **very
likely** (odds 9 out of 10)
due to greenhouse
gases”




AR5 (2013) «It is **extremely likely**
(odds 95 out of 100) that human influence
has been the dominant cause... »

RCP Scenarios: Atmospheric CO₂ concentration



Three stabilisation scenarios: RCP 2.6 to 6
One Business-as-usual scenario: RCP 8.5

Global average surface temperature change




(IPCC 2013, Fig. SPM.7a)

Only the lowest (RCP2.6) scenario maintains the global surface temperature increase above the pre-industrial level to less than 2° C with at least 66% probability


18-20000 years ago (Last Glacial Maximum)


With permission from Dr. S. Jousaume, in « Climat d'hier à demain », CNRS éditions.



Today, with +4-5° C globally


With permission from Dr. S. Joussaume, in « Climat d'hier à demain », CNRS éditions.





Winkelmann et al., (2015)

Limiting warming becomes much more difficult when the peak happens later




Source and details:

http://folk.uio.no/roberan/t/global_mitigation_curves.shtml

Global Warming of 1.5°C

An IPCC special report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, sustainable development, and efforts to eradicate poverty.



Global warming of 1.5°C

*A IPCC special report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, **in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, sustainable development, and efforts to eradicate poverty***

Proposed outline (as adopted in October 2016; report to be finalized in 2018) :

- Summary for policy makers (*max 10 pages*)
- Chapters :
 - ▶ 1. Framing and context
 - ▶ 2. Mitigation pathways compatible with 1.5°C in the context of sustainable development
 - ▶ 3. Impacts of 1.5°C global warming on natural and human systems
 - ▶ 4. Strengthening and implementing the global response to the threat of climate change
 - ▶ 5. Sustainable development, poverty eradication and reducing inequalities
- Boxes (integrated case studies/regional and cross-cutting themes),
- FAQs (10 pages)

The report in numbers

91 Authors from 40 Countries

133 Contributing authors

6000 Studies

1 113 Reviewers

42 001 Comments

Where are we now?

Since preindustrial times, human activities have caused approximately 1.0° C of global warming.

- Already seeing consequences for people, nature and livelihoods
- At current rate, would reach 1.5° C between 2030 and 2052
- Past emissions alone do not commit the world to 1.5° C

Impacts of global warming 1.5°C

At 1.5°C compared to 2°C:

- Less extreme weather where people live, including extreme heat and rainfall
- By 2100, global mean sea level rise will be around 10 cm lower
- 10 million fewer people exposed to risk of rising seas

Impacts of global warming 1.5°C

At 1.5°C compared to 2°C:

- Lower impact on biodiversity and species
- Smaller reductions in yields of maize, rice, wheat
- Global population exposed to water shortages up to 50% less

Impacts of global warming 1.5°C


At 1.5°C compared to 2°C:

- Lower risk to fisheries & the livelihoods that depend on them
- Up to several hundred million fewer people exposed to climate-related risk and susceptible to poverty by 2050

How the level of global warming affects impacts and/or risks associated with the Reasons for Concern (RFCs) and selected natural, managed and human systems

Five Reasons For Concern (RFCs) illustrate the impacts and risks of different levels of global warming for people, economies and ecosystems across sectors and regions.

Impacts and risks associated with the Reasons for Concern (RFCs)



HALF A DEGREE OF WARMING MAKES A BIG DIFFERENCE:













EXPLAINING IPCC'S 1.5°C SPECIAL REPORT

	1.5°C	2°C	2°C IMPACTS
EXTREME HEAT Global population exposed to severe heat at least once every five years	14%	37%	2.6x WORSE
SEA-ICE-FREE ARCTIC Number of ice-free summers	AT LEAST 1 EVERY 100 YEARS	AT LEAST 1 EVERY 10 YEARS	10x WORSE
SEA LEVEL RISE Amount of sea level rise by 2100	0.40 METERS	0.46 METERS	.06M MORE
SPECIES LOSS: VERTEBRATES Vertebrates that lose at least half of their range	4%	8%	2x WORSE
SPECIES LOSS: PLANTS Plants that lose at least half of their range	8%	16%	2x WORSE
SPECIES LOSS: INSECTS Insects that lose at least half of their range	6%	18%	3x WORSE
ECOSYSTEMS Amount of Earth's land area where ecosystems will shift to a new biome	4%	13%	1.86x WORSE
PERMAFROST Amount of Arctic permafrost that will thaw	4.8 MILLION KM ²	6.6 MILLION KM ²	38% WORSE
CROP YIELDS Reduction in maize harvests in tropics	3%	7%	2.3x WORSE
CORAL REEFS Further decline in coral reefs	70-90%	99%	UP TO 29% WORSE
FISHERIES Decline in marine fisheries	1.5 MILLION TONNES	3 MILLION TONNES	2x WORSE

Responsibility for content: WRI

HALF A DEGREE OF WARMING MAKES A BIG DIFFERENCE:

EXPLAINING IPCC'S 1.5°C SPECIAL REPORT

	1.5°C	2°C	2°C IMPACTS
EXTREME HEAT Global population exposed to severe heat at least once every five years	 <p>14%</p>	 <p>37%</p>	<p>2.6x WORSE</p>
SEA-ICE-FREE ARCTIC Number of ice-free summers	 <p>AT LEAST 1 EVERY 100 YEARS</p>	 <p>AT LEAST 1 EVERY 10 YEARS</p>	<p>10x WORSE</p>
SEA LEVEL RISE Amount of sea level rise by 2100	 <p>0.40 METERS</p>	 <p>0.46 METERS</p>	<p>.06M MORE</p>
SPECIES LOSS: VERTEBRATES Vertebrates that lose at least half of their range	 <p>4%</p>	 <p>8%</p>	<p>2x WORSE</p>
SPECIES LOSS: PLANTS Plants that lose at least half of their range	 <p>8%</p>	 <p>16%</p>	<p>2x WORSE</p>
SPECIES LOSS: INSECTS Insects that lose at least half of their range	 <p>6%</p>	 <p>18%</p>	<p>3x WORSE</p>

Responsibility for content: WRI

IPCC SR15: Impacts on biodiversity

- B3.1 Of 105,000 species studied,

6% of insects, 8% of plants and 4% of vertebrates are projected to lose over half of their climatically determined geographic range for global warming of **1.5°C**,

compared with:

18% of insects, 16% of plants and 8% of vertebrates for global warming of **2°C** (medium confidence).

IPCC SR15: Impacts on agriculture

- B5.3 Limiting warming to 1.5°C, compared with 2°C, is projected to result in smaller net reductions in **yields of maize, rice, wheat**, and potentially other cereal crops, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa, Southeast Asia, and Central and South America; and in the CO₂ dependent, and in the **nutritional quality of rice and wheat** (high confidence). **Reductions in projected food availability are larger at 2°C than at 1.5°C of global warming in the Sahel, southern Africa, the Mediterranean, central Europe, and the Amazon** (medium confidence). **Livestock are projected to be adversely affected** with rising temperatures, depending on the extent of changes in feed quality, spread of diseases, and water resource availability (high confidence).

Emission Pathways and System Transitions Consistent with 1.5° C Global Warming

Greenhouse gas emissions pathways


- To limit warming to 1.5° C, CO₂ emissions fall by about 45% by 2030 (from 2010 levels)
 - Compared to 20% for 2° C
- To limit warming to 1.5° C, CO₂ emissions would need to reach 'net zero' around 2050
 - Compared to around 2075 for 2° C
- Reducing non-CO₂ emissions would have direct and immediate health benefits

Global emissions pathway characteristics

General characteristics of the evolution of anthropogenic net emissions of CO₂, and total emissions of methane, black carbon, and nitrous oxide in model pathways that limit global warming to 1.5°C with no or limited overshoot. Net emissions are defined as anthropogenic emissions reduced by anthropogenic removals. Reductions in net emissions can be achieved through different portfolios of mitigation measures illustrated in Figure SPM3B.

Global total net CO₂ emissions

Billion tonnes of CO₂/yr



Timing of net zero CO₂


Line widths depict the 5-95th percentile and the 25-75th percentile of scenarios




Non-CO₂ emissions relative to 2010

Emissions of non-CO₂ forcers are also reduced or limited in pathways limiting global warming to 1.5°C with **no or limited overshoot**, but they do not reach zero globally.


Methane emissions



Black carbon emissions




Nitrous oxide emissions




Cumulative emissions of CO₂ and future non-CO₂ radiative forcing determine the probability of limiting warming to 1.5°C

a) Observed global temperature change and modeled responses to stylized anthropogenic emission and forcing pathways




b) Stylized net global CO₂ emission pathways
Billion tonnes CO₂ per year (GtCO₂/yr)




Faster immediate CO₂ emission reductions limit cumulative CO₂ emissions shown in panel (c).

c) Cumulative net CO₂ emissions
Billion tonnes CO₂ (GtCO₂)



Maximum temperature rise is determined by cumulative net CO₂ emissions and net non-CO₂ radiative forcing due to methane, nitrous oxide, aerosols and other anthropogenic forcing agents.

d) Non-CO₂ radiative forcing pathways
Watts per square metre (W/m²)



Greenhouse gas emissions pathways

- Limiting warming to 1.5° C would require changes on an unprecedented scale
 - Deep emissions cuts in all sectors
 - A range of technologies
 - Behavioural changes
 - Increase investment in low carbon options

Greenhouse gas emissions pathways

- Progress in renewables would need to mirrored in other sectors
- We would need to start taking carbon dioxide out of the atmosphere (Afforestation or other techniques)
- Implications for food security, ecosystems and biodiversity

Greenhouse gas emissions pathways

- National pledges are not enough to limit warming to 1.5° C
- Avoiding warming of more than 1.5° C would require carbon dioxide emissions to decline substantially before 2030


Nations Unies Conférence sur les Changements Climatiques

COP21/CMP11

Paris, France




Comparison of global emission levels in 2025 and 2030 resulting from the implementation of the intended nationally determined contributions



Four illustrative model pathways in the IPCC SR15:

Breakdown of contributions to global net CO₂ emissions in four illustrative model pathways

● Fossil fuel and industry ● AFOLU ● BECCS



P1: A scenario in which social, business, and technological innovations result in lower energy demand up to 2050 while living standards rise, especially in the global South. A down-sized energy system enables rapid decarbonisation of energy supply. Afforestation is the only CDR option considered; neither fossil fuels with CCS nor BECCS are used.

P2: A scenario with a broad focus on sustainability including energy intensity, human development, economic convergence and international cooperation, as well as shifts towards sustainable and healthy consumption patterns, low-carbon technology innovation, and well-managed land systems with limited societal acceptability for BECCS.

P3: A middle-of-the-road scenario in which societal as well as technological development follows historical patterns. Emissions reductions are mainly achieved by changing the way in which energy and products are produced, and to a lesser degree by reductions in demand.

P4: A resource and energy-intensive scenario in which economic growth and globalization lead to widespread adoption of greenhouse-gas intensive lifestyles, including high demand for transportation fuels and livestock products. Emissions reductions are mainly achieved through technological means, making strong use of CDR through the deployment of BECCS.

Four illustrative model pathways in the IPCC SR15:

Global indicators	P1	P2	P3	P4	Interquartile range
Pathway classification	No or low overshoot	No or low overshoot	No or low overshoot	High overshoot	No or low overshoot
CO ₂ emission change in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-58	-47	-41	4	(-59,-40)
↳ in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-93	-95	-91	-97	(-104,-91)
Kyoto-GHG emissions* in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-50	-49	-35	-2	(-55,-38)
↳ in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-82	-89	-78	-80	(-93,-81)
Final energy demand** in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-15	-5	17	39	(-12, 7)
↳ in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-32	2	21	44	(-11, 22)
Renewable share in electricity in 2030 (%)	60	58	48	25	(47, 65)
↳ in 2050 (%)	77	81	63	70	(69, 87)
Primary energy from coal in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-78	-61	-75	-59	(-78, -59)
↳ in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-97	-77	-73	-97	(-95, -74)
from oil in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-37	-13	-3	86	(-34,3)
↳ in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-87	-50	-81	-32	(-78,-31)
from gas in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-25	-20	33	37	(-26,21)
↳ in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-74	-53	21	-48	(-56,6)
from nuclear in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	59	83	98	106	(44,102)
↳ in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	150	98	501	468	(91,190)
from biomass in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-11	0	36	-1	(29,80)
↳ in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-16	49	121	418	(123,261)
from non-biomass renewables in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	430	470	315	110	(243,438)
↳ in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	832	1327	878	1137	(575,1300)
Cumulative CCS until 2100 (GtCO ₂)	0	348	687	1218	(550, 1017)
↳ of which BECCS (GtCO ₂)	0	151	414	1191	(364, 662)
Land area of bioenergy crops in 2050 (million hectare)	22	93	283	724	(151, 320)
Agricultural CH ₄ emissions in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-24	-48	1	14	(-30,-11)
in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-33	-69	-23	2	(-46,-23)
Agricultural N ₂ O emissions in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	5	-26	15	3	(-21,4)
in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	6	-26	0	39	(-26,1)

NOTE: Indicators have been selected to show global trends identified by the Chapter 2 assessment. National and sectoral characteristics can differ substantially from the global trends shown above.

* Kyoto-gas emissions are based on SAR GWP-100

** Changes in energy demand are associated with improvements in energy efficiency and behaviour change

For 3 illustrative model pathways that limit warming with no or limited overshoot

(%rel to 2010)	P1	P2	P3
CO ₂ (2030/2050)	-58 / - 93	-47 / -95	-41 / -91
Final energy demand (2030/2050)	-15 / -32	-5 / +2	+17 / +21
Primary energy from coal (2030/2050)	-78/-97	-61/-77	-75/-73
Primary energy from non-biomass renewables (2030/2050)	+430/+832	+470/+1327	+315/+878

IPCC SR15
Fig SPM 3b

Strengthening the Global Response in the Context of Sustainable Development and Efforts to Eradicate Poverty

Climate change and people

- Close links to United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)
- Mix of measures to adapt to climate change and reduce emissions can have benefits for SDGs
- National and sub-national authorities, civil society, the private sector, indigenous peoples and local communities can support ambitious action
- International cooperation is a critical part of limiting warming to 1.5° C

If well designed, measures to prevent climate change could offer so many opportunities:

- Co-benefits in reduced pollution, health improvement, employment, gender equality, food security, reduced poverty, energy independence...**
- Opportunities to shift the tax burden away from labour, incentivise, and fund sustainable development and just transitiona**



SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS



Synergies: Combustion of fossil fuels, wood, and biomass also cause air pollution, which kills 7 million people per year (including 500 000 in Europe) (World Health Organization, 2018)

Opportunity: Addressing the causes of climate change can also improve air quality and wellbeing

Children are particularly sensitive to air pollution



Photo: Indiatoday.in, 6-12-2017

From SR15:

- C2.5 Model pathways that limit global warming to 1.5°C with no or limited overshoot project the **conversion of 0.5–8 million km² of pasture and 0–5 million km² of non-pasture agricultural land for food and feed crops into 1–7 million km² for energy crops and a 1 million km² reduction to 10 million km² increase in forests by 2050 relative to 2010** (medium confidence).

An example from SR15:

- **C3.5 Some AFOLU-related CDR** (Carbon Dioxide Removal) measures such as restoration of natural ecosystems and soil carbon sequestration **could provide co-benefits** such as improved biodiversity, soil quality, and local **food security**.
- If deployed at large scale, they **would require governance systems** enabling sustainable land management to conserve and protect land carbon stocks and other ecosystem functions and services (medium confidence).

Indicative linkages between mitigation options and sustainable development using SDGs

(The linkages do not show costs and benefits)


Mitigation options deployed in each sector can be associated with potential positive effects (synergies) or negative effects (trade-offs) with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The degree to which this potential is realized will depend on the selected portfolio of mitigation options, mitigation policy design, and local circumstances and context. Particularly in the energy-demand sector, the potential for synergies is larger than for trade-offs. The bars group individually assessed options by level of confidence and take into account the relative strength of the assessed mitigation-SDG connections.

Length shows strength of connection




The overall size of the coloured bars depict the relative for synergies and trade-offs between the sectoral mitigation options and the SDGs.

Shades show level of confidence



The shades depict the level of confidence of the assessed potential for Trade-offs/Synergies.



Indicative linkages between mitigation options and sustainable development using SDGs (The linkages do not show costs and benefits)

Mitigation options deployed in each sector can be associated with potential positive effects (synergies) or negative effects (trade-offs) with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The degree to which this potential is realized will depend on the selected portfolio of mitigation options, mitigation policy design, and local circumstances and context. Particularly in the energy-demand sector, the potential for synergies is larger than for trade-offs. The bars group individually assessed options by level of confidence and take into account the relative strength of the assessed mitigation-SDG connections.

Length shows strength of connection




The overall size of the coloured bars depict the relative for synergies and trade-offs between the sectoral mitigation options and the SDGs.

Shades show level of confidence



The shades depict the level of confidence of the assessed potential for Trade-offs/Synergies.



IPCC SR15
Fig SPM 4

Tentative and personal conclusions

1.5°C matters: reducing the warming, even by tenths of a °C, can make large differences for impacts, as many of these are non-linear, that is they worsen faster with warming than the warming itself.

The probability of extremes (heat waves, drought, floods, extreme sea level) is significantly lower in a 1.5°C world than in a 2°C world

1.5°C is much safer than 2°C in terms of long-term sea-level rise associated to ice-sheet processes, particularly for low-lying regions

Tentative and personal conclusions

1.5°C lower impacts will make adaptation less costly than in 2°C world, even if there is a temporary overshoot above 1.5°C

It is very ambitious to reduce net CO₂ emissions fast enough (i.e 2050) to ZERO for a 1.5°C long-term average temperature above pre-industrial objective

There are many possible co-benefits in fighting climate change, and they would help to achieve several SDGs


What is needed is the political, economic, citizen's will!

The slower radical changes in emission patterns take place, the more we may need uncertain or risky technologies, such as large use of carbon dioxide removal from the atmosphere (possibly at the expense of food security and biodiversity)

“Yes, we can!”, says the IPCC

@JPvanYpersele

Percentage points difference between ESR targets and projected emissions in 2030



To go further :

- www.climate.be/vanyp : my slides (under « conferences »)
- www.ipcc.ch : IPCC
- www.realclimate.org : answers to the merchants of doubt arguments
- www.skepticalscience.com : same
- www.plateforme-wallonne-giec.be : IPCC-related in French, Newsletter, latest on SR15
- **Twitter: @JPvanYpersele & @IPCC_CH**