

The IPCC Special Report on 1.5° C and some land-related issues

Jean-Pascal van Ypersele

UCLouvain (Belgium), Earth & Life Institute

IPCC Vice-Chair from 2008 to 2015

Twitter: @JPvanYpersele

« Missing Pathways » Fern event,

Brussels, 6 November 2018

Thanks to the Walloon government for supporting

www.plateforme-wallonne-giec.be and my team at UCLouvain

Why this report?

COP21 decided to invite the IPCC « to provide a special report in 2018 on the impacts of global warming of 1.5 °C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways » (Article 21 of 1/CP21)

Why this report?

After a scoping process, the IPCC Plenary (Bangkok, October 2016) decided to accept the COP21 invitation and to produce:

« *An IPCC special report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, **in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, sustainable development, and efforts to eradicate poverty*** »

Global Warming of 1.5°C

An IPCC special report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, sustainable development, and efforts to eradicate poverty.



The report in numbers

91 Authors from 40 Countries

133 Contributing authors

6000 Studies

1 113 Reviewers

42 001 Comments


Understanding Global Warming of 1.5° C

Where are we now?

Since preindustrial times, human activities have caused approximately 1.0° C of global warming.

- Already seeing consequences for people, nature and livelihoods
- At current rate, would reach 1.5° C between 2030 and 2052
- Past emissions alone do not commit the world to 1.5° C

Temperature spiral



Global Mean Temperature in °C relative to 1850 – 1900

Graph: Ed Hawkins (Climate Lab Book) – Data: HadCRUT4 global temperature dataset

Animated version available on <http://openclimatedata.net/climate-spirals/temperature>

Since 1950, **extreme hot days** and **heavy precipitation** have become more common




There is evidence that anthropogenic influences, including increasing atmospheric **greenhouse gas concentrations**, have changed these extremes

CO₂ Concentration, 28 May 2018 (Keeling curve)

Latest CO₂ reading
May 28, 2018

411.98 ppm

Ice-core data before 1958. Mauna Loa data after 1958.



Source: scripps.ucsd.edu/programs/keelingcurve/

Projected Climate Change, Potential Impacts and Associated Risks

Impacts of global warming 1.5° C

At 1.5° C compared to 2° C:

- Less extreme weather where people live, including extreme heat and rainfall
- By 2100, global mean sea level rise will be around 10 cm lower
- 10 million fewer people exposed to risk of rising seas

Impacts of global warming 1.5° C

At 1.5° C compared to 2° C:

- Lower impact on biodiversity and species
- Smaller reductions in yields of maize, rice, wheat
- Global population exposed to water shortages up to 50% less

Impacts of global warming 1.5° C


At 1.5° C compared to 2° C:

- Lower risk to fisheries & the livelihoods that depend on them
- Up to several hundred million fewer people exposed to climate-related risk and susceptible to poverty by 2050

How the level of global warming affects impacts and/or risks associated with the Reasons for Concern (RFCs) and selected natural, managed and human systems

Five Reasons For Concern (RFCs) illustrate the impacts and risks of different levels of global warming for people, economies and ecosystems across sectors and regions.

Impacts and risks associated with the Reasons for Concern (RFCs)



HALF A DEGREE OF WARMING MAKES A BIG DIFFERENCE:













EXPLAINING IPCC'S 1.5°C SPECIAL REPORT

	1.5°C	2°C	2°C IMPACTS
EXTREME HEAT Global population exposed to severe heat at least once every five years	14%	37%	2.6x WORSE
SEA-ICE-FREE ARCTIC Number of ice-free summers	AT LEAST 1 EVERY 100 YEARS	AT LEAST 1 EVERY 10 YEARS	10x WORSE
SEA LEVEL RISE Amount of sea level rise by 2100	0.40 METERS	0.46 METERS	.06M MORE
SPECIES LOSS: VERTEBRATES Vertebrates that lose at least half of their range	4%	8%	2x WORSE
SPECIES LOSS: PLANTS Plants that lose at least half of their range	8%	16%	2x WORSE
SPECIES LOSS: INSECTS Insects that lose at least half of their range	6%	18%	3x WORSE
ECOSYSTEMS Amount of Earth's land area where ecosystems will shift to a new biome	4%	13%	1.86x WORSE
PERMAFROST Amount of Arctic permafrost that will thaw	4.8 MILLION KM ²	6.6 MILLION KM ²	38% WORSE
CROP YIELDS Reduction in maize harvests in tropics	3%	7%	2.3x WORSE
CORAL REEFS Further decline in coral reefs	70-90%	99%	UP TO 29% WORSE
FISHERIES Decline in marine fisheries	1.5 MILLION TONNES	3 MILLION TONNES	2x WORSE

Responsibility for content: WRI

HALF A DEGREE OF WARMING MAKES A BIG DIFFERENCE:

EXPLAINING IPCC'S 1.5°C SPECIAL REPORT

	1.5°C	2°C	2°C IMPACTS
EXTREME HEAT Global population exposed to severe heat at least once every five years	 <p>14%</p>	 <p>37%</p>	2.6x WORSE
SEA-ICE-FREE ARCTIC Number of ice-free summers	 <p>AT LEAST 1 EVERY 100 YEARS</p>	 <p>AT LEAST 1 EVERY 10 YEARS</p>	10x WORSE
SEA LEVEL RISE Amount of sea level rise by 2100	 <p>0.40 METERS</p>	 <p>0.46 METERS</p>	.06M MORE
SPECIES LOSS: VERTEBRATES Vertebrates that lose at least half of their range	 <p>4%</p>	 <p>8%</p>	2x WORSE
SPECIES LOSS: PLANTS Plants that lose at least half of their range	 <p>8%</p>	 <p>16%</p>	2x WORSE
SPECIES LOSS: INSECTS Insects that lose at least half of their range	 <p>6%</p>	 <p>18%</p>	3x WORSE

Responsibility for content: WRI

IPCC SR15: Impacts on biodiversity

- B3.1 Of 105,000 species studied,

6% of insects, 8% of plants and 4% of vertebrates are projected to lose over half of their climatically determined geographic range for global warming of **1.5°C**,

compared with:

18% of insects, 16% of plants and 8% of vertebrates for global warming of **2°C** (medium confidence).



Emission Pathways and System
Transitions Consistent with
1.5° C Global Warming

Greenhouse gas emissions pathways

- To limit warming to 1.5° C, CO₂ emissions fall by about 45% by 2030 (from 2010 levels)
 - Compared to 20% for 2° C
- To limit warming to 1.5° C, CO₂ emissions would need to reach 'net zero' around 2050
 - Compared to around 2075 for 2° C
- Reducing non-CO₂ emissions would have direct and immediate health benefits

Greenhouse gas emissions pathways

- Limiting warming to 1.5° C would require changes on an unprecedented scale
 - Deep emissions cuts in all sectors
 - A range of technologies
 - Behavioural changes
 - Increase investment in low carbon options

Greenhouse gas emissions pathways

- Progress in renewables would need to mirrored in other sectors
- We would need to start taking carbon dioxide out of the atmosphere
- Implications for food security, ecosystems and biodiversity


Greenhouse gas emissions pathways

- National pledges are not enough to limit warming to 1.5° C
- Avoiding warming of more than 1.5° C would require carbon dioxide emissions to decline substantially before 2030

Cumulative emissions of CO₂ and future non-CO₂ radiative forcing determine the probability of limiting warming to 1.5°C


a) Observed global temperature change and modeled responses to stylized anthropogenic emission and forcing pathways

Global warming relative to 1850-1900 (°C)



b) Stylized net global CO₂ emission pathways


Billion tonnes CO₂ per year (GtCO₂/yr)



Faster immediate CO₂ emission reductions limit cumulative CO₂ emissions shown in panel (c).

c) Cumulative net CO₂ emissions


Billion tonnes CO₂ (GtCO₂)



Maximum temperature rise is determined by cumulative net CO₂ emissions and net non-CO₂ radiative forcing due to methane, nitrous oxide, aerosols and other anthropogenic forcing agents.

d) Non-CO₂ radiative forcing pathways

Watts per square metre (W/m²)




Global emissions pathway characteristics

General characteristics of the evolution of anthropogenic net emissions of CO₂, and total emissions of methane, black carbon, and nitrous oxide in model pathways that limit global warming to 1.5°C with no or limited overshoot. Net emissions are defined as anthropogenic emissions reduced by anthropogenic removals. Reductions in net emissions can be achieved through different portfolios of mitigation measures illustrated in Figure SPM3B.

Global total net CO₂ emissions

Billion tonnes of CO₂/yr



Timing of net zero CO₂

Line widths depict the 5-95th percentile and the 25-75th percentile of scenarios

Pathways limiting global warming to 1.5°C with no or low overshoot


Pathways with high overshoot

Pathways limiting global warming below 2°C (Not shown above)


Non-CO₂ emissions relative to 2010

Emissions of non-CO₂ forcers are also reduced or limited in pathways limiting global warming to 1.5°C with **no or limited overshoot**, but they do not reach zero globally.


Methane emissions



Black carbon emissions




Nitrous oxide emissions



Four illustrative model pathways in the IPCC SR15:

Breakdown of contributions to global net CO₂ emissions in four illustrative model pathways

● Fossil fuel and industry ● AFOLU ● BECCS



P1: A scenario in which social, business, and technological innovations result in lower energy demand up to 2050 while living standards rise, especially in the global South. A down-sized energy system enables rapid decarbonisation of energy supply. Afforestation is the only CDR option considered; neither fossil fuels with CCS nor BECCS are used.

P2: A scenario with a broad focus on sustainability including energy intensity, human development, economic convergence and international cooperation, as well as shifts towards sustainable and healthy consumption patterns, low-carbon technology innovation, and well-managed land systems with limited societal acceptability for BECCS.

P3: A middle-of-the-road scenario in which societal as well as technological development follows historical patterns. Emissions reductions are mainly achieved by changing the way in which energy and products are produced, and to a lesser degree by reductions in demand.

P4: A resource and energy-intensive scenario in which economic growth and globalization lead to widespread adoption of greenhouse-gas intensive lifestyles, including high demand for transportation fuels and livestock products. Emissions reductions are mainly achieved through technological means, making strong use of CDR through the deployment of BECCS.

Four illustrative model pathways in the IPCC SR15:

Global indicators	P1	P2	P3	P4	Interquartile range
Pathway classification	No or low overshoot	No or low overshoot	No or low overshoot	High overshoot	No or low overshoot
CO ₂ emission change in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-58	-47	-41	4	(-59,-40)
↳ in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-93	-95	-91	-97	(-104,-91)
Kyoto-GHG emissions* in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-50	-49	-35	-2	(-55,-38)
↳ in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-82	-89	-78	-80	(-93,-81)
Final energy demand** in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-15	-5	17	39	(-12, 7)
↳ in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-32	2	21	44	(-11, 22)
Renewable share in electricity in 2030 (%)	60	58	48	25	(47, 65)
↳ in 2050 (%)	77	81	63	70	(69, 87)
Primary energy from coal in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-78	-61	-75	-59	(-78, -59)
↳ in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-97	-77	-73	-97	(-95, -74)
from oil in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-37	-13	-3	86	(-34,3)
↳ in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-87	-50	-81	-32	(-78,-31)
from gas in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-25	-20	33	37	(-26,21)
↳ in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-74	-53	21	-48	(-56,6)
from nuclear in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	59	83	98	106	(44,102)
↳ in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	150	98	501	468	(91,190)
from biomass in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-11	0	36	-1	(29,80)
↳ in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-16	49	121	418	(123,261)
from non-biomass renewables in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	430	470	315	110	(243,438)
↳ in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	832	1327	878	1137	(575,1300)
Cumulative CCS until 2100 (GtCO ₂)	0	348	687	1218	(550, 1017)
↳ of which BECCS (GtCO ₂)	0	151	414	1191	(364, 662)
Land area of bioenergy crops in 2050 (million hectare)	22	93	283	724	(151, 320)
Agricultural CH ₄ emissions in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-24	-48	1	14	(-30,-11)
in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-33	-69	-23	2	(-46,-23)
Agricultural N ₂ O emissions in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	5	-26	15	3	(-21,4)
in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	6	-26	0	39	(-26,1)

NOTE: Indicators have been selected to show global trends identified by the Chapter 2 assessment. National and sectoral characteristics can differ substantially from the global trends shown above.

* Kyoto-gas emissions are based on SAR GWP-100

** Changes in energy demand are associated with improvements in energy efficiency and behaviour change

For 3 illustrative model pathways that limit warming with no or limited overshoot

	P1	P2	P3
CO ₂ (%rel to 2010) (2030/2050)	-58 / - 93	-47 / -95	-41 / -91
Primary energy from biomass (%rel to 2010) (2030/2050)	-11 / -16	0 / +49	+36 / +121
BECCS (GtCO ₂ total →2100)	0	151	414
Land for bioenergy crops in 2050 (Mha)	22	93	283
Agric. CH ₄ (2030 / 2050)	-24 / -33	-48 / -69	+1 / -23
Agric. N ₂ O	+5 / +6	-26 / -26	+15 / 0

IPCC SR15
Fig SPM 3b



Strengthening the Global Response in the
Context of Sustainable Development and
Efforts to Eradicate Poverty

Climate change and people

- Close links to United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)
- Mix of measures to adapt to climate change and reduce emissions can have benefits for SDGs
- National and sub-national authorities, civil society, the private sector, indigenous peoples and local communities can support ambitious action
- International cooperation is a critical part of limiting warming to 1.5° C



SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS



An example from SR15:

- **C3.5 Some AFOLU-related CDR** (Carbon Dioxide Removal) measures such as restoration of natural ecosystems and soil carbon sequestration **could provide co-benefits** such as improved biodiversity, soil quality, and local **food security**. If deployed at large scale, they **would require governance systems** enabling sustainable land management to conserve and protect land carbon stocks and other ecosystem functions and services (medium confidence). (Figure SPM.4) {2.3.3, 2.3.4, 2.4.2, 2.4.4, 3.6.2, 5.4.1, Cross-Chapter Boxes 3 in Chapter 1 and 7 in Chapter 3, 4.3.2, 4.3.7, 4.4.1, 4.5.2, Table 2.4}

Indicative linkages between mitigation options and sustainable development using SDGs (The linkages do not show costs and benefits)

Mitigation options deployed in each sector can be associated with potential positive effects (synergies) or negative effects (trade-offs) with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The degree to which this potential is realized will depend on the selected portfolio of mitigation options, mitigation policy design, and local circumstances and context. Particularly in the energy-demand sector, the potential for synergies is larger than for trade-offs. The bars group individually assessed options by level of confidence and take into account the relative strength of the assessed mitigation-SDG connections.

Length shows strength of connection




The overall size of the coloured bars depict the relative strength of synergies and trade-offs between the sectoral mitigation options and the SDGs.

Shades show level of confidence



The shades depict the level of confidence of the assessed potential for Trade-offs/Synergies.



IPCC SR15
Fig SPM 4

Indicative linkages between mitigation options and sustainable development using SDGs (The linkages do not show costs and benefits)

Mitigation options deployed in each sector can be associated with potential positive effects (synergies) or negative effects (trade-offs) with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The degree to which this potential is realized will depend on the selected portfolio of mitigation options, mitigation policy design, and local circumstances and context. Particularly in the energy-demand sector, the potential for synergies is larger than for trade-offs. The bars group individually assessed options by level of confidence and take into account the relative strength of the assessed mitigation-SDG connections.

Length shows strength of connection




The overall size of the coloured bars depict the relative for synergies and trade-offs between the sectoral mitigation options and the SDGs.

Shades show level of confidence



The shades depict the level of confidence of the assessed potential for Trade-offs/Synergies.



From SR15:

- C2.5 Model pathways that limit global warming to 1.5°C with no or limited overshoot project the **conversion of 0.5–8 million km² of pasture and 0–5 million km² of non-pasture agricultural land for food and feed crops into 1–7 million km² for energy crops** and a 1 million km² reduction to 10 million km² increase in forests **by 2050 relative to 2010** (medium confidence).

Conclusions

- **The challenge is huge: transform the world in a few decades so that the whole world activities are decarbonized, while poverty and hunger are eliminated**
- **Many opportunities to address in a synergistic manner other societal goals (see the 17 Sustainable Development Goals) exist, but particular attention about trade offs around land issues is needed.**

Useful links:

- www.ipcc.ch : IPCC (reports and videos)
- www.climate.be/vanyp : my slides and other documents
- www.skepticalscience.com: excellent responses to contrarians arguments
- **On Twitter: @JPvanYpersele
and @IPCC_CH**