Developing and Communicating about an Ecological Civilization in a Warming Climate:
Challenges and Opportunities after the new IPCC Special Report on « Global Warming of 1.5° C »

Jean-Pascal van Ypersele

Twitter: @JPvanYpersele

UCLouvain (Belgium), Earth & Life Institute

IPCC Vice-Chair from 2008 to 2015

Conference on Climate Change and Health Communication, Nanning, China
20 October 2018

Thanks to the Walloon government for supporting www.plateforme-wallonne-giec.be and my team at UCLouvain

Saturn, as seen on 25-4-2016 from a 3 million km distance by the Cassini satellite launched in October 1997, 40 years after Sputnik



That small blue dot is the Earth, a seen from Cassini, orbiting Saturn, 1.44 billion km from us, on 19-7-2013

Our atmosphere is thin and fragile (as seen by ISS crew on 31 July 2013)



Jean-Pascal van Ypersele
(vanyp@climate.be)

Fact: We use the atmosphere as a dustbin for our greenhouse gases, we thicken the insulation layer around the planet & it disturbs the climate

That is why we must cut emissions to ZERO as soon as possible

@JPvanYpersele

CO_2 Concentration, 28 May 2018 (Keeling curve)



Source: scripps.ucsd.edu/programs/keelingcurve/



Fig. SPM.10

Limiting climate change will require substantial and sustained reductions of greenhouse gas emissions.

Temperature spiral



Global Mean Temperature in °C relative to 1850 – 1900
Graph: Ed Hawkins (Climate Lab Book) – Data: HadCRUT4 global temperature dataset
Animated version available on http://openclimatedata.net/climate-spirals/temperature

Since 1950, extreme hot days and heavy precipitation have become more common

There is evidence that anthropogenic influences, including increasing atmospheric greenhouse gas concentrations, have changed these extremes

Fact: Average temperature is probably on its way to exceed the « conservation temperature » for the Greenland and (some of the) Antarctic ice sheet

There is therefore a very high risk that average sea level would increase by several metres over the next century or two

@JPvanYpersele

18-20000 years ago (Last Glacial Maximum)

With permission from Dr. S. Joussaume, in « Climat d'hier à demain », CNRS éditions.



Sea level: 120 metres lower

Today, with +4-5° C globally

With permission from Dr. S. Joussaume, in « Climat d'hier à demain », CNRS éditions.

Fact: Climate change impacts poor people first, but we are all on the same spaceship (with common but differentiated rezsponsibilities)

@JPvanYpersele

Risk = Hazard x Vulnerability x Exposure

(Victims of New Orleans floods after Katrina in 2005)

AP Photo - Lisa Krantz (http://lisakrantz.com/hurricane-katrina/zspbn1k4cn17phidupe4f9x5t1mzdr)



Agarwal et al., 1999

Communicating Climate Change

- Climate change becoming more visible, and concern about it increases in many countries
- Some difficulties:
  - It's a long term issue
  - Vested interests feed artificial controversies
  - Doom and gloom messaging switches people off

In the USA alone, organizations which sow doubt about climate change spend almost a billion dollars/year!

(Brulle 2014, average numbers for 2003-2010)

The European Union fares a little better, but many Brussels lobbyists try to dilute the EU environmental efforts (see the car industry…)


How a Handful of Scientists Obscured the Truth on Issues from Tobacco Smoke to Global Warming
Merchants of DOUBT
Naomi Oreskes
& Erik M. Conway

"Doubt is our product," ran the infamous memo written by one tobacco industry executive in 1969, "since it is the best means of competing with the 'body of fact' that exists in the minds of the general public."

– *Smoking and Health Proposal,* 1969, BN: 680561778, Legacy Tobacco Documents Library, http://legacy.library.ucsf.edu/tid/nvs40f00

Source: Naomi Oreskes

The « merchants of doubt » have evolved in their arguments:

- Existence of global warming
- Human responsability in the warming
- Cost of decarbonization
- Drawbacks from alternatives

(recent example: so-called enormous needs of cobalt for electric mobility reported on CNN; see critical analysis on https://www.desmogblog.com/2018/05/02/cnn-wrongly-blames-electric-cars-unethical-cobalt-mining)

Why the IPCC ?

Established by WMO and UNEP in 1988

to provide **policy-makers** with an **objective source of information** about

- causes of climate change,
- potential environmental and socio-economic impacts,
- possible response options (adaptation & mitigation).

WMO=World Meteorological Organization

UNEP= United Nations Environment Programme

ipcc
INTERGOVERNMENTAL PANEL ON climate change

WMO UNEP

Global Warming of 1.5°C

An IPCC special report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, sustainable development, and efforts to eradicate poverty.



Global warming of 1.5°C

A IPCC special report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, ***in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, sustainable development, and efforts to eradicate poverty***

Proposed outline (as adopted in October 2016; report to be finalized in 2018) :

- Summary for policy makers (max 10 pages)
- Chapters :
  - 1. Framing and context
  - 2. Mitigation pathways compatible with 1.5°C in the context of sustainable development
  - 3. Impacts of 1.5°C global warming on natural and human systems
  - 4. Strengthening and implementing the global response to the threat of climate change
  - 5. Sustainable development, poverty eradication and reducing inequalities
- Boxes (integrated case studies/regional and cross-cutting themes),
- FAQs (10 pages)

The report in numbers

91 Authors from **40** Countries

133 Contributing authors

6000 Studies

1 113 Reviewers

42 001 Comments

Impacts of global warming 1.5°C

At 1.5°C compared to 2°C:

- Less extreme weather where people live, including extreme heat and rainfall
- By 2100, global mean sea level rise will be around 10 cm lower
- 10 million fewer people exposed to risk of rising seas

Impacts of global warming 1.5°C

At 1.5°C compared to 2°C:

- Lower impact on biodiversity and species
- Smaller reductions in yields of maize, rice, wheat
- Global population exposed to water shortages up to 50% less

Impacts of global warming 1.5°C

At 1.5°C compared to 2°C:

- Lower risk to fisheries & the livelihoods that depend on them
- Up to several hundred million fewer people exposed to climate-related risk and susceptible to poverty by 2050

How the level of global warming affects impacts and/or risks associated with the Reasons for Concern (RFCs) and selected natural, managed and human systems

Five Reasons For Concern (RFCs) illustrate the impacts and risks of different levels of global warming for people, economies and ecosystems across sectors and regions.

Impacts and risks associated with the Reasons for Concern (RFCs)



Purple indicates very high risks of severe impacts/risks and the presence of significant irreversibility or the persistence of climate-related hazards, combined with limited ability to adapt due to the nature of the hazard or impacts/risks.

Red indicates severe and widespread impacts/risks.

Yellow indicates that impacts/risks are detectable and attributable to climate change with at least medium confidence.

White indicates that no impacts are detectable and attributable to climate change.

Responsibility for content: WRI



Greenhouse gas emissions pathways

- To limit warming to 1.5° C, CO_2 emissions fall by about 45% by 2030 (from 2010 levels)
  - Compared to 20% for 2° C
- To limit warming to 1.5° C, CO_2 emissions would need to reach 'net zero' around 2050
  - Compared to around 2075 for 2° C
- Reducing non-CO_2 emissions would have direct and immediate health benefits

ipcc INTERGOVERNMENTAL PANEL ON climate change
WMO UNEP

Global total net CO_2 emissions



Non-CO_2 emissions relative to 2010

Emissions of non-CO_2 forcers are also reduced or limited in pathways limiting global warming to 1.5°C with **no or limited overshoot**, but they do not reach zero globally.



Timing of net zero CO_2
Line widths depict the 5-95th percentile and the 25-75th percentile of scenarios

Pathways limiting global warming to 1.5°C with no or low overshoot

Pathways with **high overshoot**

Pathways limiting global warming below 2°C (Not shown above)

Source: IPCC Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5°C

Greenhouse gas emissions pathways

- Limiting warming to 1.5° C would require changes on an unprecedented scale
    - Deep emissions cuts in all sectors
    - A range of technologies
    - Behavioural changes
    - Increase investment in low carbon options

Greenhouse gas emissions pathways

- Progress in renewables would need to mirrored in other sectors
- We would need to start taking carbon dioxide out of the atmosphere (Afforestation or other techniques)
- Implications for food security, ecosystems and biodiversity

Greenhouse gas emissions pathways

- National pledges are not enough to limit warming to 1.5° C
- Avoiding warming of more than 1.5° C would require carbon dioxide emissions to decline substantially before 2030

Four illustrative model pathways in the IPCC SR15:



Four illustrative model pathways in the IPCC SR15:

Global indicators	P1	P2	P3	P4	**Interquartile range**
Pathway classification	No or low overshoot	No or low overshoot	No or low overshoot	High overshoot	No or low overshoot
CO_2 emission change in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-58	-47	-41	4	(-59,-40)
↳ in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-93	-95	-91	-97	(-104,-91)
Kyoto-GHG emissions in 2030 (% rel to 2010)*	-50	-49	-35	-2	(-55,-38)
↳ in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-82	-89	-78	-80	(-93,-81)
*Final energy demand** in 2030 (% rel to 2010)*	-15	-5	17	39	(-12, 7)
↳ in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-32	2	21	44	(-11, 22)
Renewable share in electricity in 2030 (%)	60	58	48	25	(47, 65)
↳ in 2050 (%)	77	81	63	70	(69, 87)
Primary energy from coal in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-78	-61	-75	-59	(-78, -59)
↳ in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-97	-77	-73	-97	(-95, -74)
from oil in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-37	-13	-3	86	(-34,3)
↳ in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-87	-50	-81	-32	(-78,-31)
from gas in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-25	-20	33	37	(-26,21)
↳ in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-74	-53	21	-48	(-56,6)
from nuclear in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	59	83	98	106	(44,102)
↳ in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	150	98	501	468	(91,190)
from biomass in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-11	0	36	-1	(29,80)
↳ in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-16	49	121	418	(123,261)
from non-biomass renewables in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	430	470	315	110	(243,438)
↳ in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	832	1327	878	1137	(575,1300)
Cumulative CCS until 2100 ($GtCO_2$)	0	348	687	1218	(550, 1017)
↳ of which BECCS ($GtCO_2$)	0	151	414	1191	(364, 662)
Land area of bioenergy crops in 2050 (million hectare)	22	93	283	724	(151, 320)
Agricultural CH_4 emissions in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-24	-48	1	14	(-30,-11)
in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-33	-69	-23	2	(-46,-23)
Agricultural N_2O emissions in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	5	-26	15	3	(-21,4)
in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	6	-26	0	39	(-26,1)

NOTE: Indicators have been selected to show global trends identified by the Chapter 2 assessment. National and sectoral characteristics can differ substantially from the global trends shown above.

Source: IPCC Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5°C

** Kyoto-gas emissions are based on SAR GWP-100*
*** Changes in energy demand are associated with improvements in energy efficiency and behaviour change*

For 3 illustrative model pathways that limit warming with no or limited overshoot

	P1	P2	P3
CO_2 (%rel to 2010) (2030/2050)	-58 / - 93	-47 / -95	-41 / -91
Final energy demand (%rel to 2010) (2030/2050)	-15 / -32	-5 / +2	+17 / +21
Primary energy from coal (%rel to 2010) (2030/2050)	-78/-97	-61/-77	-75/-73
Primary energy from non-biomass renewables (%rel to 2010) (2030/2050)	+430/+832	+470/+1327	+315/+878

IPCC SR15
Fig SPM 3b

Climate change and people

- Close links to United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)
- Mix of measures to adapt to climate change and reduce emissions can have benefits for SDGs
- National and sub-national authorities, civil society, the private sector, indigenous peoples and local communities can support ambitious action
- International cooperation is a critical part of limiting warming to 1.5°C

SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS

Indicative linkages between mitigation options and sustainable development using SDGs (The linkages do not show costs and benefits)

Mitigation options deployed in each sector can be associated with potential positive effects (synergies) or negative effects (trade-offs) with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The degree to which this potential is realized will depend on the selected portfolio of mitigation options, mitigation policy design, and local circumstances and context. Particularly in the energy-demand sector, the potential for synergies is larger than for trade-offs. The bars group individually assessed options by level of confidence and take into account the relative strength of the assessed mitigation-SDG connections.



Source: IPCC Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5°C

Example of Synergies: Combustion of fossil fuels, wood, and biomass also cause air pollution, which kills 7 million people per year (World Health Organization, 2018)

Opportunity: Addressing the causes of climate change can also improve air quality and wellbeing

Children are particularly sensitive to air pollution

Photo: Indiatoday.in, 6-12-2017

Comparison of global emission levels in 2025 and 2030 resulting from the implementation of the intended nationally determined contributions



UNFCCC, Aggregate effect of the intended nationally determined contributions: an update http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2016/cop22/eng/02.pdf

The IPCC 1.5°C Special Report in short:

- Each half degree matters
- Each year counts
- Each decision matters
- Yes, we can (together), but much more political will is needed



Joel Pett, USA Today

Trying to practice what I « preach »:

- Energy audit before renovation
- Strong external insulation (wood fiber)
- Super-efficient windows
- Air tightiness + heat recovery ventilation system
- Ground-water heat pump replacing oil furnace
- Solar PV covering all consumption
- No tropical wood
- Small, used electric car
- Electric bicycles

Trying to practice what I « preach »

Trying to practice what I « preach »

To go further :

- www.climate.be/vanyp : my slides (under « conferences)
- www.ipcc.ch : IPCC
- www.realclimate.org : answers to the merchants of doubt arguments
- www.skepticalscience.com : same
- www.plateforme-wallonne-giec.be : IPCC-related in French, Newsletter, including n° 4 on communication
- **Twitter: @JPvanYpersele & @IPCC_CH**